


GLOBAL ASSET ALLOCATION COMPOSITE

Reporting Date:	31 December 2024
Composite Inception Date:	30 June 1988
Reporting Currency:	USD
Benchmark:	GMO Global Asset Allocation Index +

RETURNS SUMMARY

Period	Rates of Return (%)			3-Year Standard Deviation (%)		No. Of Portfolios	Dispersion	Composite AUM (million)	Firm AUM (million)
	Composite Gross of Fees	Composite Net of Fees	Benchmark	Composite	Benchmark				
2024	5.71	4.97	11.60	12.03	12.78	≤5	N/A	364.43	63,001.24
2023	16.77	15.95	16.21	11.83	12.56	≤5	N/A	353.94	58,198.96
2022	-12.20	-12.78	-16.30	13.62	14.06	≤5	N/A	324.60	56,057.29
2021	7.65	6.97	11.22	11.45	11.08	≤5	N/A	655.95	68,170.55
2020	6.83	6.31	13.90	11.90	11.89	≤5	N/A	1,110.81	62,777.74
2019	18.26	17.68	20.31	7.04	7.21	≤5	N/A	1,874.99	64,198.84
2018	-6.85	-7.31	-6.00	6.30	6.80	≤5	N/A	1,920.35	62,735.25
2017	16.26	15.70	16.45	6.53	6.73	≤5	N/A	5,869.81	70,352.83
2016	6.07	5.55	6.17	6.91	7.22	≤5	N/A	6,253.05	74,736.30
2015	-3.75	-4.25	-1.13	7.04	7.18	≤5	N/A	8,411.85	98,669.31

RETURN ANALYSIS BASED ON MOVING PERIODS* (*annualized returns above one year)



DISCLOSURES

The firm is defined as Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo & Co. LLC ("GMO"), an independent investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Beginning January 1, 2012, the firm was expanded to include GMO UK Limited and GMO Australia Limited. Prior to January 1, 2012, GMO UK Limited and GMO Australia Limited were separate firms for GIPS compliance purposes.

Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo & Co. LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo & Co. LLC has been independently verified for the periods 31/12/1992 - 31/12/2024. The verification reports are available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report. GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

GMO's policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS reports are available upon request. A complete list of composite and limited distribution pooled fund descriptions and list of broad distribution pooled funds is also available upon request.

DISCLOSURES

As of 6/30/2025, the standard management fee schedule for the mutual fund in the composite is 0bps on all assets. The annual total expense ratio for share class I is 92bps. The expense ratio includes fees for shareholder services and operating expenses, in addition to the management fees of underlying funds. Other share classes may feature higher or lower fees. Please refer to fund documents for additional information.

The Global Asset Allocation Composite includes portfolios seeking total return greater than that of the GMO Global Asset Allocation Index, an internally-maintained index computed by GMO, consisting of 65% MSCI All Country World Index and 35% Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Index. The philosophy that underlies all of GMO's Asset Allocation investment strategies is the belief that, at times and in the short term, the pricing of asset classes can deviate from true intrinsic value, but mean reverts to appropriate valuation levels over the long term. GMO's proprietary 7-Year Asset Class Forecasts form the foundation of our investment process, providing a framework to assess the return opportunity embedded in different asset classes. We use that insight to allocate to what we believe are the most attractively priced asset classes. We also seek to add value through security selection within both traditional and alternative asset classes. The composite was created in January 2002.

The strategy is not limited in its use of derivatives and typically the impact is material. Both the use of derivatives and borrowing may cause a portfolio's gross investment exposure to be in excess of its net assets (i.e., leverage), which may subject a portfolio to a heightened risk of loss. During the period presented the strategy typically used currency forwards, futures, options, swaps, swaptions, and rights/warrants.

The internal dispersion of annual gross returns is measured by the equal-weighted standard deviation of account gross returns represented within the composite for the full year. For periods with five or fewer accounts included in the composite for the entire year, 'N/A' is noted as the dispersion is not considered meaningful. The three-year annualized standard deviation measures the variability of the gross composite and benchmark returns over the preceding 36-month period. For periods without 36 months of composite performance history, 'N/A' is provided for both the composite and its benchmark.

Performance results are presented both gross and net of investment advisory fees. The composite results are time-weighted rates of return net of commissions, transaction costs and withholding taxes on foreign income and capital gains. Returns for mutual funds included in the Composite include securities lending income, if applicable. Returns for private funds included within the composite, if any, are net of any private fund operating expenses. Valuations and returns are calculated and expressed in U.S. dollars. All composite returns reflect the reinvestment of dividends and other earnings. Effective January 1, 2014 net composite returns are calculated using a model advisory fee by applying the highest fee schedule to the composite's gross-of-fee returns on a monthly basis. Prior to January 1, 2014 net returns were calculated by applying the account's actual fee schedule in effect for the respective period on a monthly basis for each account in the composite. Actual fees paid by any individual investor may be higher or lower than model advisory fees.

Past performance is not an indicator of future results.

The GMO Global Asset Allocation Index + is an internally maintained benchmark computed by GMO, comprised of (i) GMO blended benchmark of Global Asset Allocation Composite through 06/30/2014 and (ii) The GMO Global Asset Allocation (Blend) Index thereafter. The GMO blended benchmark of Global Asset Allocation Composite is comprised of a weighted average of account benchmarks; many of the account benchmarks consist of S&P 500, MSCI ACWI (MSCI Standard Index Series, net of withholding tax) and Barclays Aggregate or some like proxy for each market exposure they have. For each underlying account benchmark, the weighting of each market index will vary slightly. The index is internally blended by GMO and maintained on a monthly basis. The GMO Global Asset Allocation (Blend) Index is an internally maintained benchmark computed by GMO, comprised of 65% MSCI ACWI Index (MSCI Standard Index Series, net of withholding tax) and 35% the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index. S&P does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or availability of any data or information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions from the use of such data or information. Reproduction of the data or information in any form is prohibited except with the prior written permission of S&P or its third party licensors. MSCI data may not be reproduced or used for any other purpose. MSCI provides no warranties, has not prepared or approved this report, and has no liability hereunder.

Returns include a substantial, one-time litigation settlement recovery received on December 16, 2024. This event contributed 0.80% to 2024 annual performance, based on a representative account. Performance for other periods, including this date, was also positively impacted, sometimes materially. Without this recovery, performance would have been lower in both absolute terms and relative to the benchmark.